- 1 Motivation and problems
- 2 Attempts in verification Some positive attempts A still questionable test
- 3 Phase-field fracture model and numerical modeling A posteriori error estimation and mesh adaptivity
- A phase-field fracture model for nearly incompressible solids Modeling Numerical tests
- 5 Further validation attempts

Collaboration with DIK (German Kautschuk Institute)

6 Conclusions

Single edge notched shear test in mechanics ⁵



- Computational analysis of Γ-convergence properties ⁴, i.e., interplay of ε and h:
 - Case 1: $\varepsilon = 2h$,
 - Case 2: $\varepsilon = ch^{0.5}, c = 0.25,$
 - Case 3: $\varepsilon = ch^{0.25}, c = 0.125$.



Figure: Setting and functional evaluation in terms of the load-displacement curve

⁴These studies were actually suggested by I. Babuska after discussions in 2013
⁵All parameters taken from Miehe/Welschinger/Hofacker (2010) CMAME, (2010) IJNME

Thomas Wick (LUH)

Results: Crack path

• Use predictor-corrector mesh refinement (Heister/Wheeler/Wick, 2015) in order to work with a given small choice of the length-scale parameter ε in the crack region



Figure: Crack path on 4 + 2-refined meshes. Crack propagation in red and dynamic mesh refinement at different times T = 100, 120, 150 using predictor-corrector refinement with C = 0.8.

Results: Spatial refinement for different ε



Figure: Mesh refinement studies for the three different Cases 1,2,3. We observe that if we choose *h* and *ε* according to the theoretical requirement of Γ convergence with h = o(ε), then spatial mesh convergence is obtained (Cases 2+3)

• Convergence results are not satisfying!

- 1 Motivation and problems
- 2 Attempts in verification Some positive attempts A still questionable test
- 3 Phase-field fracture model and numerical modeling A posteriori error estimation and mesh adaptivity
- 4 A phase-field fracture model for nearly incompressible solids Modeling Numerical tests
- 5 Further validation attempts Collaboration with DIK (German Kautschuk Institute)
- 6 Conclusions